FINAL REPORT OF THE INDEPENDENT PANEL OF EXPERTS FOR THE EVALUATION OF CANDIDATES FOR ELECTION TO THE INTER -AMERICAN COURT OF AND COMMISSION ON HUMAN RIGHTS

October 1, 2021

PanelMembers:

- x MariclaireAcosta
- x CarlosAyala
- x MagdalenaCervantes
- x JuanE. Méndez
- x ElizabethSalmón
- x JudithSchönsteiner

Index

PRESENTATION	4
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	9
PARTI	
PANEL INDEPENDENCE	
WORK METHODOLOGY	1.0
a) Publicity of the Panel, its members and its mission	1.1.
b) Participation of permanent missions	
c) Participationof candidates	
d) Involvementof civil society, academia and otherstake holders	
e) Reportsources	
f) Meetingsanddeliberations	
g) Decisions	
h) Recusals	
EVALUATION CRITERIA	
a) High moral authority and recognized competence in human right issues.	
b) Independencendimpartiality	
c) Contribution to the balance dand representative composition the organized and the	
d) Nationalnomination processes	

2.	VERÓNICA GÓMEZ	66
3.	NANCY HERNÁNDEZ LÓPEZ	7.1
4.		

PRESENTATION

The Independen Panelof Experts for the evaluation of candidates to the Inter-American Court of HumanRights (hereinafterIACtHR) and the Inter-American Commission on HumanRights (hereinafterIACHR), is pleased to present this report, the result of sevenmonths of intense work, which aims to strengther the system of nominations and elections in the Inter-American HumanRights System (IAHRS).

In this iteration, the IndependenPanel (hereinafterthe Panel) is composed of six experts internationallyrecognized or their professional rajectory dedicated o human rights: Carlos Ayala, Mariclaire Acosta, Magdalena Cervantes Juan E. Méndez, Elizabeth Salmónand Judith Schönsteiner Their biographiescan be found in Annex A of this Report. This is the third consecutive teration in which the Centerfor Human Rights & Humanitarian Law at American University Washingtor College Law (CHR&HL) hasserved as the Secretaria of the Panel³ Both the American Convention on Human Rights (hereinafter ACHR) and the respective statutes of the Inter-American bodieses tablisha series of essential equirements that candidates and -1 (es)-51 (i) JusticeInitiative (OSJI), the Centerfor Justiceand International Law (CEJIL), and the Due Process f Law Foundation(DPLF). The Panel'sinitial objective wasto review the nomination and election processes valuate the qualifications of the nominees and make recommendations on how to improve future nominations and elections.

The report produced by the first

nomine**e**TJ()Tj -0.004 Tc 0.004 Tw 4.28 0 Td [(an)-4 (d)]TJ 0 Tc 0 36w 1.44(e 0 Td (g -0.004 -0.004 Tc 0**12044(3))**

Panel-asanacademicinstitution-with greaterguarantees findependencendimpartiality, vis-à-vis Statesandcivil societyorganizations.

This year'selections are extremely important for the IAHRS due to the number of vacancies be filled in each of the bodies: four

and 2019 independentexpert panel reports¹⁰ in the report "Strengtheningfrom Within" ¹¹ producedby the InternationalCommissionof Juristsand Open SocietyJusticeInitiative, as well as the report "Dialogues for TransparencyThe NominationandElectionProcesses for CommissionerandJudges to the Inter-AmericanCourtandCommissionon HumanRightsand the Experience of the IndependentExpert Panel," ¹² prepared by the American University Washington College f Law.

The first part of this report describes the Panel's work methodology and the evaluation criteria it used. The Panel's recommendation are presented below and are based on international standards and, from a comparative perspective are in line with other models of international courts and tribunals. In Part III, the report presents an informed, objective, and independent evaluation of each of the nomine and, in its final section, includes a series of annexes with relevant information from the evaluation process.

For this year'selection, the persons nominated o serve as judges of the IACtHR for the 2022 2027 period are Rodrigode Bitten court Mudrovitsch (nominated by Prazil), Verónica Gómez (nominated by Argentina), Nancy Hernández ópez (nominated by Costa Rica), César Landa Arroyo (nominated by Peru), Miryam Josefina Peña Candianominated by Paraguay) Patricia Pérez Goldberg (nominated by Chile), and Maytrie Vydia Kuldip Singh (nominated by Suriname). The persons nominated o serve as commissioners of the IACHR for the 2022-2025 period are: Carlos Bernal Pulido (nominated by Colombia), Roberta Clarke (nominated by Barbados), Joel Hernández García (nominated by Mexico for reelection), Alexandra Huneeus

for (ul)

nationalnominationprocedures more transparent participatory, and merit-based as

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

PART I

On the independence of the Panel, its working methodology, and evaluation criteria

PANEL INDEPENDENCE

The members of the Panelhave acted independently of civil society organizations as well as the States and any other entity. They did not receive nor will they receive any financial contribution for their work,

a) Publicity of the Panel, its moembers

d) Involvement of civil society, academia, and other stakeholders

To ensure the participation of civil society, academia and other stakeholders a form was developed and disseminated hrough social networks and email (see Annex C). The form contained the following information:

"The IndependenPanelof Experts that will evaluate the nominees to the Inter-American Commission HumanRights and the Inter-AmericanCourt of HumanRights appreciate your collaboration. The information that you provide in this form will be evaluated according to its relevance. If possible, attach all documents and hyperlinks to sources that support the information. The Panel may share the information with the candidates and give them the opportunity to respond. The Panel will not consider any information from anonymous ources. If you are willing to provide information related to more than one candidate please complete one form per candidate By submitting the below form, you will have the opportunity to share information about the cardidates with the IndependenPanelof Experts. Please note that a Gmail account please contact María Julia Dellasoppaat midella@american.ed@and/or ChristianFinsterbuschat chrisfin@american.edU.hedeadlineto sendinformation is Apri -0.004 Tc 0.004 Tc

g) Decisions

All decisionsmade by the Panelwere by consensus.

h) Recusals

In orderto preserve heimpartiality

In addition, the Panelhas referred to the *Guidelines on the Independence and Impartiality of Members of Human Rights Treaty Bodies (the Addis Ababa Guidelines)*,²⁰ which apply to the expertstaff of the United Nationshuman rights reatybodies.

The Panelalsoanalyzed the potential contribution of the nominees to the diverse composition of the IAHRS bodies as it reaffirms the importance of promoting diverse plural, representative memberships thus following the successive ecommendation of the OAS General Assembly to the States Finally, the Panelexamined the nature of national nomination processes in light of standards f transparence and participation.

a) High moral authority and recognized competence in human rights issues

Both the ACHR and the Statute of the IACtHR present the minimum requirements that nominees must meet to serve as judges of that body. The requirements demand that they be "jurists of the highest moral authority, of recognized competence in the field of human rights, who posses the qualifications required for appointment to the highest judicial offices under the law of the country of which they are nationals or of the State which nominates them as candidates"²¹

Similar requirementare outlined or the positions of commissioners, whose members must be persons of "high moral authority and recognized expertise in the field of human rights" ²²

With respectto high moral authority, the BangalorePrincipleselaborateon the values of integrity and propriety. Performing with integrity means ensuring that one's own conductis above reproach under the criteria of a reasonable bserver²³ The Principles link this value to public trust and the importance of fair decision making and clear processes⁴ In this regard, impropriety and the appearance f impropriety should be avoided in all activities²⁵

working knowledgeof

notwithstandingother obligations and commitments"²⁹ Likewise, the BangalorePrnding

of its office in the Commission⁸." The Rulesof Proceduræddthat: "[a]t the time of assuming their functions, members shall undertaken ot to represent/ictims or their relatives, or States in precautionary measures petitions and individual cases before the IACHR, for a period of two years, counted from the end of their mandates members of the Commission" ³⁹

The BangalorePrincipleselaborateon this conceptand state that being independentmplies being "free from outside influence, inducement, pressure threats or interference, direct or indirect, from whateversourceand for whateverreason"⁴⁰ Independencemplies not only beingfree from inappropriate connections or pressure but also having "the appearance f being free from them in the eyes of a reasonable bserver"⁴¹ To be impartial, on the other hand, is to perform one's b e o

c) Contribution to the balanced and representative composition of the organization

The contribution of candidates a balance composition of the organization in terms of gender, geographic representation population groups, and legal systems a criterion that has been established and maintained in the resolutions of the General Assembly in recent years.⁴⁷

From the initiative's inception, the 2015 Panel noted that it would take into consideration "whether the candidate would contribute to a balance within the Commission in terms of area of expertise, genderand other forms of diversity."⁴⁸ Similarly, the 2017 and 2018 Panels referred to the resolution of the OAS General Assembly regarding the need to promote "gender balancedand geographically representativent tegration of the different legal systems within the IAHRS bodies⁴⁹ In 2018 and 2019, the OAS General Assembly approved new resolutions along the same lines.⁵⁰ In October 2020, the OAS General Assembly issued a resolution on the "Promotion and Protection of Human Rights"⁵¹ by which it resolved."To urgememberstates, in the nomination and selection processes for judges of the Inter-American Court of Human Rights, to seek to achieve parity in the composition of the Court, encouraging more nominations of women candidates and also to consolidate regional geographic representation and an adequatebalanceof the legal systems of the Hemisphereensuring that the requirements of independencempartiality and recognized competence the field of humanrights are met." The progress made with respectogender parity within the inter-American human rights bodies is extremely important considering the structural discrimination that exists against women in the region. These resolutions sustained ver time allow the Panelto affirm that the balanced and representative omposition of the body is a key criterion for its composition, which must be especially taken into account at the time of elections. Through the aforementioned resolutions it is clear that the Stateshavemadea commitment to ensure that the composition of the bodies of the IAHRS reflects the diversity of the region in a representative manner.

d) National nomination processsi

processes y allowing civil society, academia and other stakeholders o participate⁵² Thus, although they do not prevent the existence of reciprocal political agreements "exchange of votes"),⁵³ which the different panels have strongly opposed, it promotes greater guarantee of independence, impartiality, nowledge and experience.

In this regard, the Panel endorses the words of the previous Panels and holds that "the affirmation and enforcement of the principles of competence independence and impartiality in the IAHRS are intimately related to the process of choosing the appropriatemembers or the Commission and the Court. After the nomination of candidate at the national level, the election process at the OAS General Assembly is the second and final stage where these values can be firmly and resolutely endorsed" ⁵⁴

The Panelwelcomesthe fact that States, in comparison with previous election periods, are submitting a greater number of nominations the bodies of the Inter-American Human Rights System It also considers textremely positive that the majority of the nomine sarewomen and that in both the IACtHR and the IACHR, candidates from the Caribbearare being presented. However, the diversity and representative ness the composition of the System's bodies still present schallenges and efforts must be made to include people belonging to all historically disadvantage groups.

Following the practice of the independent anels that have been convened previously, this Panel presents in Part II of the Report, a series of recommendations imedations the current procedures for nominations at the national level and elections before the OAS General Assembly.

Evaluation of the above elements and drawing of conclusions

At this point, the Panelmaintains the practice of previous panels⁵ that, for each candidate, there is an analysis of whether or not he/shemeets the requirements for the position.

The Panelconsiders independence and impartiality, on the one hand, and high moral authority and recognized expertise in human rights, on the other, with the scopeset forth above, as the fundamental triteria of assessmen The Panelal sobelieves that States should ensurt that both nominees and appointee meethigh standards for both independence and suitability. Thus, for example, if a candidate meets the necessary suitability requirements but does not present himself or herself as sufficiently independent and impartial, then that

the case of persons who present themselves as independent and impartial, but who do not demonstrate the recognized expertise inhuman right sequired by legal standard.

The contribution of the individual to the balance and representative omposition of the body is a crucial criterion that the Panelanalyzes in each case because t must be taken into account by the States at the time of nomination and election, in compliance with the mandate of the General Assembly. In this regard, the Panel considers that in a situation in which two individuals meetequally high standards of independence and suitability, States should favor the one who contributes to the diversity of the organization. However, in no cases hould promoting the creation of more representative and pluralistic bodies take precedence ver the obligation to integrate them with suitable persons who are and appear to be independent and impartial in the eyes of a reasonable observer.

With respecto nominationprocesseat the nationallevel, the Panelbelieves that the existence of internal selection processes in the States's crucial to improving nominations and elections at the OAS level. However, the non-existence of such processes does not invalidate the nominee, nor does having gone through such a process automatically establish him/her as suitable.

As it has done in previous periods, the Panel consulted with States and candidates on the characteristics of the nomination processed eveloped at the national level. The Panel has taken note of some positive practices implemented by States that, in their development of the nomination process, aveused prior selection criteria in consultation with civil society. In this process, the vacancy was published and disseminated among members of civil society, non-government of ganizations and academia hat, in turn, published he announcement and issued their recommendations. The nominations and recommendations are analyzed by a panel of experts in the field who ranked the candidates according to nine selection criteria. The practice included the development of interviews with the best qualified individuals for the position and a recommendation was subsequently nade and submitted for approval by the State authorities. The Panel highlights this nomination process of candidates and urges States to replicate similar practices in future elections.

The Panelalso received information on States that have carried out pre-selection procedures organized by specialized odies of their respective executive branches oidentify those persons with profiles that are suitable based on their background interviews, and engagement with representatives of civil society and academia. In this regard, the Panel welcomes this participation by civil society and recommends that in the future, these practices be formalized and that public, regulated, and transparen processes be established and involve the greatest number of civil society groups, particularly hose with less access political participation.

Part II: Recommendations

The quality of Inter-American human rights standards depends directly on the qualities and capacities of the judges and commissioners who make up the bodies of the system. The characteristics of the nomination and selection processes for these positions necessarily influence the quality of the decisions and the perception of the legitimacy of these bodies. Therefore, the nomination and selection processes at the national level must be transparent, participatory, and base don the merits of the participants.

The current systems for nominating and electing commissioner and judges to IAHRS bodies have a number of short coming in terms of transparency and participation. The Panel has pointed out these deficiencies hroughout its various activities.

It is important or reiterate that Inter-American legal instruments are silent or how nominations should be developed at the national level and "there are no OAS guidelines establishing minimum standards or requirements or members tates to consider when selecting candidates for the court or commission, nor is any OAS body empowered or review the processor the qualification of candidates oncestates ubmit their candidates" ⁵⁶

Without clear and uniform rules, theseprocesses are mostly controlled by the States and are exempt from being officially audited by otheractors. The information provided to the Panel by the candidates and by the States themselves confirms that, in general, nominations are made through a discretionary appointment by an executive entity, usually through the Ministry of Foreign Affairs.

The practiceof "vote exchange"hashistorically dominated these processes States exchange promises of political support for votes in other elections, and this practice often ignores the capabilities and suitability of the candidates The previous Panel shave made detailed criticisms of these practices, which are also reiterated by civil society organizations at the regiona ^{\$7} and global levels. this in ins

characterizeds "...a destructive practice that is practiced as a matter of course in other international institutions [and] is opposed to the fair and effective functioning of international justice and should be avoided altogether at the ICC. For this reason, we promote informed and merit-based choices. This type of situation, vote trading or other forms of politicization, cannot happen when it comes to electing those who will lead the Rome Statute's international justice system.⁵⁹"

Throughoutits four iterations, the Panel has made a series of recommendations with the objective of adjusting national nomination processes for candidate and the selection process at the OAS to international standards with respect to other successful experiences of similar bodies, such as the International Criminal Court and the Europear Court of Human Rights. The shortcomings of current processes and best practices developed in other international institutions have guided the Panel in developing its own recommendations Many of the recommendations that the Panel has issued in the pastare still in effect and will be discussed later in this section.

Both the Internationa Criminal Courtand the Europear Court of Human Rights are bodies that presents imilar characterists to the Inter-American bodies and have formalized evaluation mechanisms for national level to

humanrights record. This body, ideally, should be representative f different constituencies within the Stateandsectors f society (academic professional humanrights, amongothers). It should be permanent or convene with time in advance f the next election.⁶⁰

Thouigh 6 iocado(1)a-2465 esy ina ina a j HWR(crFjakk5 (ana)-4elpol paceabuvizeismoge)a.el el in el d wipfoposs())Tje(bane)aTj Tc 0.004 Tw [(el)-3.97()T el elwvië BROsgient Tic 0 Trw potentially qualified for the position⁶³ It is also indicated that the announcement of the call bethe

formerin the eyes of a reasonable bserve⁶⁷." The values of independence and impartiality are at the core of the Addis AbabaGuidelines⁸⁸, which stipulate that members of these bodies, in addition to being independent and impartial, should appear to be so in the judgment of a reasonable bserver. In addition to the seguidelines, they provide that those working for the treaty bodies shall not be subject to any kind of influence or pressure from the State of which they are nationals, or from any other State or its agencies, and shall not seek or accept instructions from any one connection with the performance of heir duties.⁶⁹

v) Applicants should be asked to provide information on the activities they plan to carry out simultaneously with their work as commissioners or judges

In the spirit of Article 71 of the Convention the Panelalsore commends that States refrain from proposing persons who occupy—simultaneously to their performance in the IACHR of 0020 Tof IACtHR, but also at the time of being proposed—positions of authority and responsibility ides in by() Tj -0.0 any of the areas of government or the diplomatic corps of their country that could give rise to a conflict of interest that would prejudice the real or apparent ndependence and impartiality that every judicial body should have. The Parel recognizes that this does not explicitly

At the ECtHR level, it hasbeenaccepted hat individuals extend written commitments to take intensively anguage lasses felected. This practice could be applied in the Inter-American case in the situation where there is a candidate who fully complies with all the requirements but does not master more than one official language of the OAS.⁷¹

vii) Interviews should be essentialpart of the selection process

Oncethe nomination period is over, the national selection body should call individuals for an interview to assess their qualifications. There should be pre-established rules to allow representatives of the most representative national non-governmental human rights organization so be present the interviews. Interviews should be conducted based on a model template that guarantees equal conditions for interviewees and their evaluation. The questionnaire attached n Annex B may provide an idea of the type of question shat could be asked of applicants The decisions of the body should not be binding, but the political authorities should only deviate from its advice by means of a reasoned and public decision.⁷²

(viii) Statesshould nominateat least two candidates for each election

Given the historical underrepresentation f women and over-representation f men on the Court, at least one candidateshould be a woman, with real possibility of being elected. Likewise, candidates need not necessarily be nationals of the nominating State³. This would ensure the possibility of a real election in the General Assembly and allow voters the opportunity to elect individual staking into account the need to have human rights bodies that reflect the diversity of persons protected by their constitutive and treaty instruments, including members of underrepresented communities.

From the United Nations Human Rights Council, States and international bodies have been urged to intensify their efforts to advertise available vacancies and "encouraging more women to apply as candidates and to monitor and report on progress in achieving gender-balanced rapple of the progress in achieving gender-balanced rapple of the progress in achieving gender-balanced rapple of the progress in achieved of the prog

achieved the number of candidates is higher than in previous periods. It should also be noted that of the sevenciand idates for the IACtHR, five of the mare women and of the five candidates for the IACHR, three of the mare women.

(ix) Statesshould seekto nominate individuals who, in addition to meeting the conditions of recognized human rights expertise/competenceand independenceand impartiality, contribute to a diverse and representative composition of the body.

the The Character and the the the test of test of the test of test of

Likewise, given that both the IACtHR and IACHR face a broad thematic spectrum of issues

Regardingheprofessionaprofiles of the candidate for the IACHR, therewere diverse profiles with work experience in international organizations the diplomatic corps, legal advising to prosecutoriabodies, and various positions within executive branches elated to human rights issues Likewise, candidate shade xperience in academia including in teaching, research and institutional management.

b) Recommendations for the selection process at the OAS

In this currentiteration, the 2021 Panelendorses the conclusions eachedby previous panels, in their full context. In this regard, it recommends that:

i) The OAS should establish an Advisory Committee without Staterepresentation in order to ensure the suitability of persons nominated to be commissioners of the IACHR or judges of the IACHR.

Throughout its various iterations, the Panel has accumulated valuable experiencein the development of its methodology and process of evaluating candidates. This evaluation process should be institutionalized within the OAS. The Panel recommends that an Advisory Committee have a diverse composition with independent members including representatives from civil society, academia and individual civil servants and officials as independent experts, with equal number of male and female representatives. The OAS could also invite the Inter-American Juridical Committee to assist the Advisory Committee in its work, in accordance with Article 99 of the OAS Charter, which states that the Juridical Committee exists to serve the Organization as a consult ative body on legal matters.

the Assemblyof States Parties⁷⁸ The Advisory Committee for the evaluation of candidatures is composed of nine members with high moral consideration competence and experience of criminal or international law. Members must be nationals of the States Parties but act independently of the interests of the State of which they are nationals. They should reflect the world's major legal systems and ensure an equitable and balance decographicate presentation of both genders³⁹

ii) The terms of reference of the Advisory Committee should include evaluating and assessing nominees with respect to their suitability for their term of office as commissioner, commissioner, judge or justice.

"The Committeewould be empowered meet with these individuals, compile independent information about them, and conduct public panels to provide them with the opportunity to present hemselves States as well as to both regional and national civil society associations. The Advisory Committee would also be able to access information gathered on each applicant at the national level and in the eventual selection process used at the local level. The Committee should evaluate their suitability not only on the basis of criteria of professional suitability for election, but also on personal qualities of independence impartiality, integrity, decency, competence diligence, equanimity, and empathy. Finally, its evaluation should take into account the diversity of the candidates in its recommendations."

(iii) The OAS should publish and widely disseminate the names and curricula vitae of the candidates well in advance.

"In order for institutions, civil society or ganization sand any there is the period of the selection process, in accordance with their possibilities, it is necessary or the OAS to announce who will standfor electionat least 90 days before the General Assemb 3 ece3 (sse) 5 (c 0 T-0.002 Tw 5.03 0 Td [(w)4 (ith)]TJ 0 hr0 Tc 08 ai 11

v) The Panel recommends that the Advisory Committee make a final written report to the OAS regarding the evaluation of the applicants and that the Statestake this report into account when casting their votes.

The Committee's eport would provide guidance and advice through independene valuations		
that Statescould use in selecting the most qualified individuals. The Committee's ask would		
not be to endorseorcoul4.30 Td [(C)-1 (o)2	(m)	1

Part III: Individual evaluations

Nominations to the Inter -American Commissionon Human Rights

1. CARLOS BERNAL PULIDO

Proceedings before the Panel: Carlos Bernalwas contacted by the Panelon April 6, 2021. He responded the questionnair and sentit in on April 26, 2021. Subsequently he excused himself from participating in the interview stage of the Panelto beheld on May20, 2021, as a result of a controversy that arose from a misunderstanding as he reported in an email to the Secretaria of the Panelon May 18, 2021. Notwith standing the foregoing, throughe-mails and telephone calls that were not answered by him, the Panel again offered its availability to interview him.⁸³ Carlos Bernalwas the only candidate from the Court and the Commission who did not participate in the interview stage.

The Panelreceived holetters of support for his nomination and received three letters from civil society organization sopposing his nomination.

1. High moral authority

In the questionnairesent to the Panelby candidateBernal, he stated that he had neverbeen disciplined for professional misconduct. The Panel received no information to the contrary There is nothing in his record to indicate any type of sanction, ethical t 1.18 mnt 1.55 Tw 8.004-

Australia (for two terms: from 2009 to 2013 as Associate Professor and from 2013 to 2017 as tenured professo)r.

He also served as legal advisor to various institutions in Colombia and abroad, including, amongothers, the National Army of Colombia, Empresa Públicas de Medellín, the Supreme Court of Justice of Mexico, and the Ministry of Public Administration of Bangladesh.

He was appointed as Magistrate of the Constitutional Court of Colombia by the Senate of the Republic for a period of eight years, a position from which he resigned three years after his appointment, for professional dfamily reasons⁸⁵

As a magistrate of the Constitutional Court of Colombia, Carlos Bernalactively participated n a large number and variety of rulings, including several relevant rulings on human rights. In this regard, the Panel received information that the candidate cast some votes that could be contrary to the rights of certain groups that have historically experience discrimination. The fact that candidate Bernaldid not participate in the interview with the Panelor in any procedure of exchange of information and ideas with it, prevented dialogue with him about the Inter-American standard related to these same matters, in the sense of knowing how he would resolve similar situations by applying the legal sources of the IAHRS. From an analysis of the indicated decisions the Panel has As for his particularareas of knowledgerelevantto the exercise of heposition for which he is running, based on the sources that were analyzed in preparation of this report, his knowledge in various areas of human rightsphilosophy, and constitutional aw stands out.

CandidateBernalis a prolific authorin the production of legal and philosophical publications in scientific journals in various countries, withing mainly on constitutional aw, tort liability of the State, democracy, institutionalism, the principle of proportionality, fundamental rights, transitional justiceand environmental aw, among other topics.

Knowledge of IAHRS challenges

Regardinghis dedication, if he were to be elected as Commissioner the candidate explained that he would continue to undertaken is activities as a law professor at the University of Dayton (in Ohio) and the University of La Sabana (in Colombia). He said that the administration sof both universities have expressed heir support for his candidacy and their willing ness to provide him with for a JOT OC (2709 AT OT COLOR (1990) and (1990) and

6. Conclusion

After analyzing the sourcesused to prepare this report, the Panelhas concluded that Carlos Bernal meets some of the qualifications he

2. ROBERTA CLARKE

Proceedingsbefore the Panel: Roberta Clarke wasontacted by the Panelon April 11, 2021. Sheresponded the questionnair and submitted it on May 4, 2021. Shemet with the Panel on May 19, 2021. The Panel received one letter of support for her candidacy⁸⁸

1. High moral authority

CandidateClarke indicated in her responses to the questionnairethat she has not been disciplined for professionalmisconduct. The Panelhas not received any information to the contrary. There is nothing in her record to indicate any type of sanction, ethical misconductor professional impropriety.

2. Recognized expertise inhuman rights

Academic background and professional experience

RobertaClarkeholds a Bachelorof Arts degreein Sociologyfrom the University of the West Indiesanda Masterof Arts degreein Sociologyfrom York University (Canada)Shealsoholds an LLB degreefrom the University of the West Indies and graduated as an attorney at-law in 1989 (Bar Association of Trinidad and Tobago). She also completed a Master's degreein

2012)/UN Women; and Social Affairs Officer, (Programmeof Work on Gender and Development)United Nations Economic Commissionfor Latin America and the Caribbean SubregionaHeadquarter for the Caribbear(19992004).

Regardingheracademicexperienceshehasbeenprofessionallyassociated with the University of the WestIndieswhereshetaught"InternationalHumanRightsLaw" during 20172018 and also held several positions in various other years, including Visiting Scholar, Assistant ProfessorandResearcher.

Regardingherparticularareasof knowledgerelevanto the exercise of the position, herprofile in social and legal sciences her work in institutional administration close collaboration with states to comply with their human rights obligations, developing the capacity of civil society to promotestate accountability, and supporting people affected by human rights violations stand out. Her analytical and programmatic work has focused on a range of issues related to women's human rights, gende equality, the elimination of all forms of violence against women and girls, sexual and reproductive health and rights, gender based approaches gender equality and women's empowerment rights to halt and reverse the spread of Fattor () T Tots ((em) 50(0)) T 2100 T c 0(04) T)

6. Conclusion

After analyzing various sourcesused to prepare this report, the Panel has concluded that Roberta Clarkemeets the requirements beelected as a commissioner The Panelal sobelieves that, if elected shewould contribute to the work of the IACHR by virtue of her knowledge and experience.

On the requirement of high moral authority, the Panel highlight sthe candidate's international career dedicated oactivism and the

carand dend i

3. JOEL HERNÁNDEZ GARCÍA (reelection)

Proceedingsbefore the Panel: JoelHernandezGarciawas contacted by the Panelon April 7, 2021. He responded the questionnair and submitted it on April 28, 2021. He met with the Panelon May 11, 2021. The Paneldid not receive any information from civil society or other interested parties it Pan 2.22 0 Td () Tj 0.27 c 0 Tw 1id (o.-1 (t)-6 (i)-6 (e570 Tw 1.44 0 Td () Tj -0.

Commissionerfor Human Rights in order to contribute to the protection of human rights defenders in the Americas through monitoring and dvocacy actions.

Prior to his role at the IACHR, Mr. Hernándezhad a careeras a diplomat in the Mexican Foreign Ministry, having joined the Foreign Service in 1992 and

Finally, he is of the opinion that the universality of the IAHRS continues to be a pendingtask, indicating that it "(...) requires leadership, not only from the IACHR, the political bodies of the OAS and the States, but also from the commissioners themselves."

Regardinghis contribution to the challengesdetected, he proposed working to continue to implement the measure adopted by the IACHR in the 2017 2021 Strategic Planto address the procedura backlog and simultaneously address those emblematic cases that allow progress in the development of Inter-American standards in the most serious and urgent cases. He added that the Commissions hould continue with the country portfolio review exercise to get herwith the interested Member States to identify cases that can be resolved through amicables olutions or that can be archived.

Secondly,he indicated that the policy of closing cases due to procedural inactivity has been effective and progress hould be made in closing cases that have lost their validity, so long as the petitioning party is given the opport unity to respond norder to continue with a case. Third, he added that the Commission should make greatence of the prioritization criteria established in Article 29.2 of its Rules of Procedure at its lost gi () Tj -0.004 Tc u4 -0.004 Tc 0.008 Tc -0.000.7 0 TtiJ

interest, I

5. National nomination process

The candidatesaid that when he learned that the IACHR would have three vacancies he expressed is interestin running for reelection to the Mexican Ministry of Foreign Affairs. He indicated that the internal procedure was developed within the Secretaria and added that he is unaware of the consultations that we recarried out.

The candidate of the opinion that all states should conduct selection processes a more rigorous manner. He indicated his concern for the inertia of the states and because the nominations do not respond in many cases to an internal process of selection of suitable people with a dialogue with civil society.

6. Conclusion

After analyzing the sourcesused to prepare this report, the Parel has concluded that Joel Hernández Garcíameets the requirements to be elected as a commissioner. The Panelalso believes that, if elected, he would contribute to the work of the IACHR by virtue of his knowledge and experience.

Regarding the requirement of high moral authority, the Panel believes that his long career in the diplomatic service of his country, having reached the rank of Ambassador corroborates is moral authority. This is reaffirmed by the fact that he has served for the last three years as commissioner of the IACHR, occupying its

variouspositions in the Mexican Foreign Ministry, a diplomatic career which here signed once he was proposed as a candidate in the first election to the IACHR. In addition, at the international level, he has promoted human rights through his collaboration with different United Nations and OAS organizations.

4. ALEXANDRA HUNEEUS

Proceedingsbefore the Panel: AlexandraHuneeuswas contacted by the Panelon April 6, 2021. Sheresponded the question nairen April 26, 2021 and shemet with the Panelon May 20, 2021.

1. High moral authority

CandidateHuneeusstatedthatshehasneverbeendisciplinedfor professionalmisconduct.The Panehasnot receivedanyinformation to the contrary.There is nothing in herrecord to indicate any type of sanction, ethical misconduct, oprofessional mpropriety.

The candidate haseceived several awards throughouther academic careea, mongthem: c 0 Tnd8 0 Td ()Tj 4.Td ()Tj [(i)

Since 2018, she has served as the director of the University of Wisconsin's

tendenciesTheexternalchallengesdescribedabovearetheonesthat will guide, in heropinion, the work of the IACHR in the comingyears.

Regardingher contribution to the challenges dentified by her, shemainly indicated that from her experience in academia and research shewould contribute by providing knowledge about the System Sheadded that shewould also bring her understanding f how best to communicate the work of the IACHR and work with the states to generate greater confidence in the IAHRS. The candidate believes that efforts should be made to generate greater opportunities for dialogue between civil society, states and academial particular, shepointed out the need to work jointly with states to addres the challenge of the procedura backlog.

Shestressedheneedfor the IACHR to work for the mostvulnerablegroupsof peopleandfor the defense of democratic institutions. This involves working in the defense of political rights, as well as rights related to the provision of medicines without discrimination, access to information, the rights of women, Afro-descendant indigenous peoples and people belonging to the LGTBQI+ population.

Anotherchallenge pointed outly the candidate iscelated to the institutional aspects within the IACHR: the procedural backlog, the follow-up of recommendations and the working environment. Regarding the latter, the candidate indicated that she possesses interpersonal, leadership and administrative access to

this matter, there is a possibility that she would have to recuse herself in order to avoid any appearance of artiality.

4. Contribution to the balancedand representative composition of the organization

Regardingher contribution to the body, the candidateindicated that the IACHR currently has a composition of commissioners with professional experience nostly linked to the public sector in their countries. The candidateremarked that her professional profile in the academicarea would contribute to the diversity of the organization's professional profiles. She also added that, due to her experience in charge of certain areas of the University where she works, she has administrative skills that would be very useful at the IACHR if selected. The candidate indicated that much of heracademic aree and research as focused on the study of the various political and justice systems in the IAHRS. As a result, she is knowledge ableabout the functioning of the constitution and criminal policy systems of various countries in the region, as well as the differences betweer civil law and common law legal systems.

5. National nomination process

In this regard, the candidate pointed out that the U.S. Department of State carried out a process through which prior selection criteria were established in accordance with previous processes and in consultation with civil society. The vacancy was published and disseminated among members of civil society ocy, civis

should be noted that her experiences focused on the study of humanrights issues, so she is perfectly familiar with

5. ANTONIA URREJOLA NOGUERA (reelection)

Proceedings before the Panel: Antonia Urrejola Noguerawas contacted by the Panelon April 6, 2021. She responded to the questionnaire April 27, 2021. She met with the Panelon May 14, 2021.

1. High moral authority

CandidateUrrejolastatedthatshehasneverbeendisciplinedfor professionalmisconduct.The Panehasnot received any information to the contrary.There is nothing in herrecord to indicate any type of sanction ethical misconduct, oprofessional mpropriety.

CandidateUrrejola is running for reelection as a commissioner.She was elected as a commissioneby theOAS GeneraAssembly for the 2018 2021 term. During 2021, she served as President of the IACHR.

- 2. Recognized expertise inhuman rights
- Academic background and professional experience

The candidate is a lawyer from the University of Chile and holds a postgraduate gree in "Human Rights and Democratization Processes."

Shecurrentlyservessa commissioneof the IACHR and, since 2020, has been the director of the Diplomain HumanRights, Public Policy, and Strategid_itigation at the Law School of the Alberto Hurtado University (Chile).

Previously, between2003 and 2005, sheworked as Legislative and Human Rights Advisor to the Minister of the Interior. From 2006 to 2011, sheworked at the OAS General Secretariat and, amongother functions, was in chargeof the General Secretariat's alson with the bodies of the IAHRS—Commission Court and Institute of Inter-American Human Rights. From 2012 to 2017, sheworked as an Independen Consultant n Public Policy, Indigenous aw, and Inter-American Human Rights System providing advice to private sector offices and agencies such as UNDP, ILO, FLACSO Chile, Universidad Diego Portales and IDB, amongothers. From 2014 to 2017, she was a Cabinet Advisor to the Ministry in the General Secretaria to f the Presidency of the Government of Chile, providing advice on human rights and indigenous peoples tights.

Regardingher particular areasof knowledgerelevant to the exercise of the position, her knowledge of the IAHRS in general and the application of its standard standout. She also has experience in specific issues such as indigenous peoples hights, transitional justice, children's rights, and human rights and business.

• Knowledge about the challenges of the IAHRS

In her answersto the questionnaireand in the interview with the Panel, the candidate demonstrated clearknowledgeof the IAHRS and the challenges in the immediate future for the IACtHR and the IACHR.

Among the challengestacing the regional system, the candidate highlighted four: first, the fulfillment by the IACHR of its main functions in a context of questioning of its authority by some States. The second related to the current context of COVID-19 and its impact on accentuating the continent's nequalities. The third focused on the internal work of the IACHR and the way in which the pandemichas influenced this work, thus establishing the need to incorporate new working methods, mainly virtual, and limiting the possibility of visits to territories and direct contact with the victims. Fourthly, the candidatementioned the issue of the IACHR's budget, also in the context of the economic limitations that the pandemichas generated in the region.

At the sametime, the candidateemphasized he advancement of authoritarianismon the continent and its impact on the human rights agenda. In light of this, she reflected on the difficulties of the 2 treatment ((,)Tw 1.7 0 Td () Tj 0.46 0 Td []TJ 1.47 0 Td () Tj [(r)3 (e)-6 (g

Both aspects-full dedicationand knowledge of two of the official languages of the OASallow the Panelto hold that she meets the additional skills requirement for the position of Commissioner.

3. Independence, impartiality and conflicts of interest

The candidatehas experienceas an advisor in different public agencies, under various governments of her country and with different degrees of responsibility. Her last position was as HumanRightsAdvisor to the Ministry of the Presidency of Chile, in charge of relations with Congress and the processing of bills. In this regard, the candidate expressed at "*The fact that I have had a relevant part of my professional career in the field of human rights from public agencies does not make me, per se, less autonomous with respect to the States.*"

The Panelhasno information of anykind that would allow it to have any doubauman of d kmp R g 2al(0t) (ta0) () 313407 OcT0d T () 14.222004 T () 01004002/ Tee & 0202 Tw [(w41 (ith)] TJ 0 Tc 0 Tw21.03 0 Td () re-elected, she would contribute significantly to the work of the IACHR, by virtue of her accumulated knowledge and experience.

The Panelbelievesthat Mrs. Urrejola would enrich the work of the IACHR by providing continuity to the objectives set in previous years. The candidate would contribute her knowledgeof the current challenge faced by the Systemandher ability to address them in conjunction with the various actors involved, States civil society, and victims.

Regardingthe requirements f high moral authority, herprofessionatareerdirectly related to the application of human rights standard from various positions of great responsibility attests to this. In addition, no sanctions ethical misconductor professional mpropriety are noted in her record.

 of indigenouspeoples in a regional context of promotion of "development" projects that have an impacton such rights, and the other transitional justice. The Panel notes that the candidate has served as Country Rapporteur for Nicaragua and Colombia, both with very complicated contexts for the enforcement of human

Nominations to the Inter -American Court of Human Rights

1. RODRIGO DE BITTENCOURT MUDROVITSCH

Proceedingsbefore the Panel: Rodrigo de Bittencourt Mudrovitsch was contacted by the Panelon April 6, 2021. He responded the questionnaire and submitted to n April 28, 2021. He met with the Panelon May 12, 2021. The Panelreceived 19 letters of support from public entities of the three branches of the Brazilian government Brazilian professional associations, academics civil society organizations and others.⁹⁴ The candidate's willingness to send the Paneladditional information about academic and professial background before ndafter the interview process is highlighted.

1. High moral authority

The candidatestated hat he has never been disciplined for professional misconduct. The Panel did not receive any information to the contrary. The candidate received multiple letters of support and holds a distinction, the "Medal of Honorof the Federa Police of Brazil," though it is not clear to the Panel for what attributes he was awarded. There is not hing in his record to indicate any type of sanction, ethical his conduct, oprofessional mpropriety.

- 2. Recognizedcompetencein human rights
- Academic background and professional experience

 He hasdedicated his entire professional careeras a criminal lawyer and constitutional awyer, and as an academic not having held a public position in the Brazilian government or been part of any civil society organization.

From 2013 to 2017, he held various academicpositions at the University of Brasilia as a teaching assistant yolunteer lecturer, and, then, as a chaired professor teaching constitutional law, public policy, and fundamental rights. In recent years, together with other experts, he organized study group on topics related to human rights and democracy called "Law in times of Covid-19," through which he organized several seminars on current issues. The candidate has actively participated *honorem* and by invitation in the study committees of the House of Representative (Chamber Deputies) and the National Council of Justice Brazil, providing technical advice for the drafting of bills related to issues of criminal law, justice, democracy, and public safety.

The candidates currently Presidentof the SpecialCommissionof Criminal Studiesof the Brazilian Bar Association (OAB, in its Portuguesæcronym), which aims to evaluate the compatibility of draft laws on criminal matters with fundamental criminal guarantees and humanrights. He is also a consultant to the NationalCommission of ConstitutionalStudies of the OAB before the Supreme FederalCourt of Brazil (STF) and a member of the Committee for the Defense of the Republicand Democracy of the OAB, which aims to "promote popular sovereignty and propos degal means to control the actions of the public authorities."

the candidate it was one of the reasons for the issuance of a new immigration law in Brazil, a law that was later highlighted by the IACHR.⁹⁶

• Knowledge of IAHRS challenges

In his answersto the questionnair and in the interview with the Panel, the candidatereferred to some issues regarding the challenges that may arise in the immediate future of the IACtHR and IACHR. Amongother considerations peointed out that the first challenge was to increase the Court's presence in the Americas and bring its work closer to the legal realities of each State Party. He indicated, "*This challenge requires the formulation of solutions that include (i) the search for greater effectiveness of the Court's decisions, especially through dialogue, respecting the sovereignty of the countries, and (ii) the dissemination of the Court's work to make it better known at the continental level.*" He mentioned the case of Brazil as an example of the above, indicating, "*Despite being a country that has historically participated in the construction of the IAHRS itself, the work of the Court is little known internally and its jurisprudence is not usually taken as a reference by the Brazilian judiciary*". He indicated that the same is happening in other countries and therefore work should be done to bring the IACtHR closerto the States and their legal systems especially the Caribbeamations.

He added," the greater proximity of the [IACtHR] to the countries under its jurisdiction, especially

• Diligence and other relevant skills

As for his dedication to the position in the event that he is elected to the post, he explains that he would continue his academic career and practice law in a manner compatible with his eventual performance again dge.

Regarding his languages kills, Portugues is his nativelanguage and he is alsofluent in Spanish and English.

3. Independence, impartiality and conflicts of interest

The candidate has dedicated his entire professionalife to being a practicing lawyer and an academic. The Panelhas no evidence that the candidate has held any political or decision-making positions in the sphere sof government.

He was askedfor his opinion on possible conflicts of interestas a trial lawyer before the Supreme Federal Court of Brazil. He indicated in his response to the questionnair that "[1]f, however, any situation arose in which I might have a conflict of interest, I would certainly follow the procedure provided in the rules of the IAHRS and submit a justification acknowledging my impediment, in accordance with the terms of Article 19.2 of the Statute of the [IACtHR]." In the interview, hereiterated that, "I would not put the Court in any situation that could be considered as a possible conflict of interest" and that he would only work as a trial lawyer before the Court in Brazil (not in other countries ashe has been doing thus far) and only if it was compatible with the position of Judge. The Panelhasno information to support a contrary conclusion.

4. Contribution to the balancedand representative composition of the organization

Regardingwhat his candidacycontributes a balance composition of the regional court, he indicated that, "(...) the great diversity of activities with which I have been able to work has provided me with a significant amount of experience that I will be able to contribute to the [IACtHR]." Regarding his contribution, in particular, he highlighted his training and work as a lawyer, adding that, "(...) the [IACtHR] usually has a majority of public career judges,

iBie66(s)-1 (;

International University (Ecuador), Ca'Foscari University (Italy), University of Sydney (Australia), KathmanduLaw School(Nepal), and the EuropearInter-UniversityCentre(Italy).

Regardingher areasof

• Knowledge of IAHRS challenges

In heranswersto the question nair and in the interview with the Panel, the candidat evidenced a clear knowledge of the IAHRS and understanding of the challenges that the IACtHR and IACHR will face in the immediate future.

As for the challenges acing the Inter-Americanhumanrights system, the candidate dentified the structural socioe conomic nequalities as the root causes of poverty and the most serious humanrights violations are the most pressing challenges, headded, "...*it is vital to actively nurture the capacity and values of the Inter-American system, as well as active dialogue with States and civil society in the region. The Inter-American Court has a fundamental role in this dialogue since its jurisprudence represents the highest expression of human rights standards for Latin America and the Caribbean."*

 3. Independence, impartiality and conflicts of interest

The candidatehas spent her entire professionallife as an academic, researcher, and legal advisor. No information was found that would allow the Panel to determinewhether the candidate has held political or other decision making positions in previous or current Argentineargovernment.

Regardingpossible conflicts of interest, candidate Gómezindicated," It is unlikely that conflicts of interest will arise in the exercise of the position. Should this occur, I will proceed in accordance with Article 19.2 of the Statute of the Court." The Panelhasno information that would allow it to affirm a contrary conclusion, considering that her time at the Commission was quite sometime ago, and there is no longer any case on which she had worked, with the possibility of being sentto the Court. There is alsono incompatibility in relation to her current activity.

4. Contribution to the balancedand representative composition of the organization

In this regard, the candidate indicated that the Court requires male and female judges with parity of representation. She also stated that she has extensive knowledge and experience in working with the legal systems of the OAS members tates from the perspective of international human rights law. In addition, she has knowledge and contacts with legislative and judicial agencies and national human rights institutions through the design and implementation of programs for the exchange obest practices especially in the Southern Cone and the Andd

(...-6 (l)

3. NANCY HERNÁNDEZ LÓPEZ

Proceedings before the Panel: NancyHernández ópezwas contacted by the Panelon April 6, 2021. Sheresponded the questionnair and submitted it on April 26, 2021. Shemet with the Panelon May 13, 2021. The Paneldid not receive any information from civil society and stakeholder segarding hecandidacy.

1. High moral authority

CandidateHernandezstatedthat shehasneverbeendisciplinedfor professionalmisconduct. The Panelreceivedno information to the contrary. There is nothing in her record to indicate any type of sanction, ethical misconduct, oprofessional in the contrary of the Counselto JusticeRodolfo Piza Escalante (1990-1992). Prior to her careerin the Judicial Branch, sheserved as Legal Advisor to the Ministry of Justice of Costa

Regardingher

Commissionwill facein the

As for otherrelevantskills of the candidate it is worth mentioning the knowledgeshepossesses in the exercise of her jurisdictional activity of conventionality control, which she has applied in several rulings.

3. Independence, impartiality and conflicts of interest

The candidatehasspenther entire professionalife as a magistrate and academic Shehasnot held any political or other decision-making positions in governmental pheres Sheclarified in the interview with the Panelthe non-existence of any type of relationship with the Executive. She referred to the pressures (political, public) to which judges are subjected and how jurisdictional work requires an impervious attitude to these pressures in order to decide with full independence.

With respecto possibleconflicts of interest, candidate Hernándezindicatedin her answersto the questionnair that "In the national legal system, there are instruments that regulate by law the regime of excuses, inhibitions, incompatibilities, and prohibitions that must be considered by every judge in the exercise of the jurisdictional function. In such a way that we judges are accustomed to not affecting the impartiality and objectivity to which we are bound," and she added that in the event of a possible conflict of interest, "...whether real, potential or apparent, it is my obligation to abstain from knowing, processing, giving an opinion or advising on said matter and I must adequately handle the situation before the Court." The Panel has no information to support a contrary conclusion.

S(r)3 (i)-2 ol2.55 0 8T3-0.004 Tc 0.004 Tw [(CID 10 >>B2C -0.000.c -0.002 Tw 0.22 0
ContribS[(cen)+6 tthe batanced and representative composition of the organization

The candidate indicated that, if elected judge, her contribution would be positive and proactive. Sheaddlance icat quate j(nt0 T()T]TJ()Tj EMC ET BT /P <</MCID2I-Ee)]TJ 1.5 0 Td1 ltutoces, balaln that she is familiar with the jurisprudence of the constitutional courts of the United

moral authority. There is nothing in her record to indicate any type of sanction, ethical misconduct, oprofessionalmpropriety.

With regard to the requirement of recognized competence in human rights, the Panebelieves that it is indisputable that candidate Hernándezhas a solid academicand professional background in international human rights law, with expertise in the areas of justice and the rights of vulnerable groups and individuals.

Her +30 yearsof judicial experience in constitutional and human rights issues and 25 years as a university professor support the above. The candidate has issued relevant rulings on human rights using IAHRS sources some of which have had an impact on the adequacy of regulations and public policies in Costa Rica. She has also participated in the drafting of important bills in favor of vulnerable populations, such as persons deprived of liberty and persons with HIV-AIDS, pidathe the eat ARTc 0. (i) +6 (d) -4 (at) -6 \$ (tTJ 2.7 aTc)Tj (0.36 022(Ta) 4.26 Ta) (0.458) -0.05 (tTJ 2.7 aTc)Tj (0.50 (tTJ 2.7 aTc)Tj (tTJ 2.7 aTc)Tj (0.50 (tTJ 2.7 aTc)Tj (t

4. MAYTRIE VYDIA KULDIP SINGH

Proceedingsbefore the Panel: Maytrie Vydia Kuldip Singh was contacted by the Panelon April 22,2021. Sheresponded the question nairon May 10,2021 and metwith the Panelon May 31, 2021.

1. High moral authority

CandidateKuldip Singhstatedthatshehasneverbeendisciplinedfor professionalmisconduct. The Panelhasnot receivedany information to the contrary. There is nothing in her record to indicate any type of sanction, ethical misconductor professional mpropriety. The candidates a member of the Board of Directors of the JusticeStudiesCenter of the Americas (JSCA).

2. Recognizedcompetencein human rights

• Academic background and professional experience

Maytrie Vydia Kuldip Singhholdsa law degree from the University of Suriname for 40 Twr 3.82e0 c 0 3 at of Law degree from the Anton de Kom University of Suriname. The candidate has worked for 17 years for hercountry's judiciary. She is currently a Judge of the Criminal Court of Appeals, specializing n corruption cases The candidate lso provides tr 0 Td [(I)-6 (aw-0.003)0

liberty; reasonabletime for the resolution of trials; protection of personsunder the Domestic Violence Act; cases of discrimination against women linked to the Asian Marriage Law; marriageunder Muslim laws; and the right to family in the context of the COVID 19 pandemic.

Regardingher particular areasof knowledgerelevant to the pape 6 (6) (T) T 2 f 02 f 01

candidatestressedhe needto innovateand expandupon the variety of reparationmeasures orderedby the IACtHR, so that they go beyond the most frequently ordered measures of symbolic reparation and financial compensation.

Sixth, the candidatementionedthat the Systemshould provide for consequencefor those States that do not comply with the resolutions emanating from the friendly settlement procedures. In this regard, she pointed out that the path of friendly settlementhas been considered essvaluable for the petitioners since it does not provide for referral to the IACtHR in case of non-compliance. Finally, she indicated that an effort should also be made to strengthencontrol, monitoring, and follow-up mechanisms. To this end, the OAS General Assembly should play a more active role by eventually applying sanctions of a political nature to the states that are most eluctant to comply.

• Diligence and other relevant skills

As for her dedication in the event that she is elected to the post, the candidated id not provide details regarding other positions and activities that she would pursue in parallel to her work as a judge of the IACtHR.

Regardingher languag 1 Tf 0.002 Tc -0.d40 Td () 0.31em2 >>BDC -0.3 Tw 0.26 0 Td [()Tj -0.0mJ 3.7

by English-speakingCaribbearcountries in implementing the Court'srulings. In this regard, she stressed he need to increase communication and cooperation between courts in order to effectively implement human rightstandard in Caribbearcountries.

In addition, the candidate highlighted the importance of having people from small Caribbean states participate in human rights organizations and regional courts, in order to increase awarenes and dissemination of human rights standard mongthe population. Likewise, if elected, the candidate indicated that she would contribute to minimize the isolation of her country in relation to the OAS bodies.

Finally, the candidatementioned the need to promote greaters pecific participation of women from these countries, for whom it is very difficult to master the official languages of the IACtHR (Spanish, Englishand French).

Knowledge of other legal systems

CandidateKuldip Singhmentionedthat shehasknowledgeof the commonlaw systemthat governsCaribbeancommunities.In this regard, sheindicatedthat sheparticipated in several CAJO (Caribbean Association of Judicial Officials) seminars. The candidate also has knowledgeof the jurisprudence of the CaribbeanCourt of Justice.

The candidateindicated that she is currently an elected member of the Board of Directors of the JusticeStudiesCenter of the Americas(JSCA), whose head quarter are in Chile, and that through the training that JSCA provides in the different OAS countries, she has had the opportunity to deeperher knowledge of the various legal systems.

5. National nomination process

The candidate emarked hat in Surinameit is the Minister of Foreign Affairs who receives the call and disseminates among the various Statebodies. In the case of candidate Kuldip Singh, her nomination for the position of judge to the IACtHR was supported by the President of the Court of Appeals and the Minister of Justice. The Suriname authorities believe that Maytrie Vydia Kuldio Singh, if elected as a judge of the IACtHR, would contribute to strengthening he country's ties with the Court and would contribute to the enforcement of its decisions.

6. Conclusion

After analyzing the sourcesused to prepare this report, the Panelhas concluded that Maytrie Vydia Kuldip Singhmeets the requirements be elected judge and that, if elected, she would contribute to the work of the IACtHR by virtue of her knowledge and experience.

Regarding he requirement of high moral authority, the candidate has an extensive rajectory in the judiciary of her country and her record does not show any type of sanction, ethical misconduct, oprofessional mpropriety.

In relation to the requirement of recognized expertise in human rights, the PTj -0.0hg

5. CÉSAR LANDA ARROYO

In 2003, heserved as an ad-hoc Judgeof the IACtHR in the case of De la Cruz Flores vs. Peru.

- 2. Recognized competence in the field of human rights
- Academic background and professional experience

Mr. Landa Arroyo is a lawyer who completeddoctoral studies in Spain and postdoctoral studies in Germany He is currently a Senior Professor Constitutional Law at the Pontificia Universidad Católica del Perú and at the Universidad Mayor de San Marcos. He has 30 years of experience inteaching He also has the following international academire sponsibilities:

Vice President the International Association of Constitutional Law;

Memberof the group of expertson fundamentalights and constitutional justice of the Rule of Law Program of the Konrad Adenaue Foundation;

Ordinarymemberof the Association of Constitutionalists f Spain;

Memberof the Institute of ParliamentaryStudies of the UniversidadComplutensede Madrid;

Memberof the network of constitutionalist and internationalist of the lbero-American Colloquium of the Max-Planck Institut für aus ändisches öffentliches Recht und Völkerrechtin Heidelberg (Germany);

Commissioneof the International Commission of Jurists.

He serves as a member of advisory boards and editorial committees of various legal, human rights, and constitution to the serve se

constitutionalrank of humanrights treaties, developing the control of conventionality and enforcing the judgments of the IACtHR through constitutional processes, such as habeas corpus, amparcand unconstitutionality of laws.

Candidate_andaArroyo standsout for having contributed to the protection of humanrights through his participation in the case of the "EI Frontón" massacreand in rulings on the right of reconstituted amilies, the right to sexual identity, the use of the morning after pill and the control of arbitrarines in detentions as well as in mattem the 0.21a02564 (id) Tel (() Tel 40(22) () B} 10T(d)

5. National nomination process

The candidatepointed out that in Peru there is no formal mechanismthrough which the governments ubmits nominations to bodies of the universal or Inter-American Human Rights System for consideration by other branches of governments rivil society. On this point during the interview, the candidate addet that he is aware of the recommendation for the nomination of candidate at the level of the international tribunals and the System itself, and that this is a pending task in Peru. He added that the guarantee of the independence of a tribunal or court also depends on the system of selection of its members.

6. Conclusion

After analyzing the sourcesused to prepare this report, the Panel has concluded that the candidate César Landa Arroyo meets the requirement so be besen to 0.22003 T (c) DD r0) B04 Tc 0.00

With respecto its independenceand impartiality, the Paneldoesnot have elements nor has it received information that would allow it to have doubts about it. The Panelbelieves that candidateLanda Arroyo is seen as independent and impartial in the eyes of a reasonable observer capable of remaining free from outside influence and pressure.

6. MIRYAM JOSEFINA PEÑA CANDIA

Proceedingsbefore the Panel: Miryam Peñ

She also indicated that between 1994 and 2000, she represented Paraguayon the Board of Directors of the Inter-American Children's Institute (IIN), the OAS specialized agency for the protection of children and adolescent in the area of public policies.

Candidate

to her article "Some considerations regarding the right to conscientious objection in Paraguay."

As mentioned the beginning of this Report regarding the methodology for the evaluation of the candidates, the Panel considers information provided by civil society organizations which it contrasts with the candidate to whom it refers. In relation to candidate Peña, the Panel received a communication with endorsement from organizations mainly linked to

During the interview, the candidate also added the challenge of financing the activities of the IACtHR.

• Diligence and other relevant skills

As for her dedicationif elected to the Tc -0.006 Tw 9.96 y((et) [a De4() an 00202c D-c) (1 C j) .63 (Tc 604Tt

Sheindicatedthattheselection

From the overall review of the documentationsubmitted, the answersprovided in the questionnair and the interview, the Panel believes that the candidate is an expertin the public, civil, and labor law of hercountry. The Panehassomed oubts regarding the candidate is pecific knowledge of the jurisprudence of the IACtHR and regional human rights challenges.

The candidatehasan outstandingrack recordin the Judiciaryandin teaching, with extensive knowledgeandhandlingof domestidaw. In the decisions and paper shat the candidate hared, there are some isolated quotations from human rights instruments that are not accompanie by reasoning based on international human rights. Nor was it possible to verify a specific academic production on the subject of human rights. The Panel, therefore is unable to find that candidate Peña Candia fully complies with the requirement of proven competence in international human rights aw.

7. PATRICIA PÉREZ GOLDBERG

can

Proceedingsbefore the Panel: PatriciaPérez Goldbergwas contacted y the Panelon April 6, 2021. Shereturned the questionnair sentby the Panelon April 26, 2021. Shemet with the Panelon May 14, 2021. The Panelreceived 32 submissions in support of the candidate for a support of the c Regardingherparticularareasof knowledgerelevantto the position for which sheis applying, her knowledgeof the IAHRS standsout. In this regard, the candidatendicated in her answers to the questionnair that, during her experience in the Criminal DefenseOffice, shefocused on the defense of the rights of persons deprived of liberty. Shealso indicated that throughouther professionabareer, shehas addresse the issue of the condition and treatment of people with disabilities from different angles mainly in family and labor law (through her work at the Legal AssistanceCorporation) and then in criminal law, while sheworked at the Public Defender's Office. The candidate expresses the interest in the issue of sexual diversity, which she has addresse dince her work in the justice sector and in the implementation of appropriate prison policies. She also described hat, in the area of criminal justice, she has worked on issues related to migrants, promoting non-discrimination by state agents access justice, and, in particular, effective access consular assistance The candidate also referred to her interest in access justice and the need to bring legal services in civil, labor, family, and criminal matters closer to the community. In particular, she stressed he importance of the work of the public defender's office in guaranteeing access justice for the most vulner able people.

Shealso has numerous publications in the field of humanrights. She has written in various legal journals, mainly on the topics of women's rights and the prison system. As Minister of Justice, she participated in the preparation of several publications, including those on penitentiary policy with a focus on international human rights law. She has also written numerous newspape articles and opinion columns. Moreover, her doctoral thesis was entitled, "Women Deprived of Liberty and the Capabilities Approach" (20162020).

• Qualification to exercise the highest judicial function

CandidatePérezGoldbergmeetstherequirementsto beajudgeof the SupremeCourt of Justice of Chile in accordancewith Article 78 of the ChileanConstitution¹¹⁵

• Knowledge of IAHRS challenges

In her answers to the questionnaireand in the interview with the Panel, the candidate demonstrated clear knowledgeof the IAHRS and understandingof the challengesthat the IACtHR and IACHR will face in the immediatefuture. Regarding the challengestacing the IAHRS, the candidate efferred to advancing the universality, taking into account ratifications of the ACHR, and the acceptance of the contentious universality of the IACtHR. Shereferred to the challenge of including English speaking countries of the continent that have not yet accepted he jurisdiction of the Court, which makes the IAHRS less diverse than the region.

¹¹⁵ Article 78 of the National Constitution"... five of the members of the SupremeCourt must be lawyers from outside the administration justice, have at least fifteen years of professional experience have distinguished themselves in professional or university activity and meet the other requirements torth in the respective constitutional organic law (...) In the case of filling a vacancy corresponding to lawyers from outside the administration justice, the roster shall be formed exclusively, after a public background competition, with lawyers who meet the requirement set for th in the fourth paragraph...."

4. Contribution to the balanced and representative composition of the organization

Regarding her contribution to the composition of the Inter-American Court, the candidate explained that: "... [Her] work in the State in the direct execution of public policies (as a criminal defense attorney) and later in the design and implementation of those policies (as Undersecretary and Minister of Justice) and in their evaluation (as an academic), [has] given her the possibility of knowing, first-hand, the challenges that exist between the letter of the law and its implementation, but also to identify the opportunities that exist to implement the desired changes."

Additionally, candidatePérez Goldbergmentionedhatfrom herwork in the Public Defender's Office, she had the opportunity to participate in training activities with judges, prosecutors, public defenders and experts both SpanishandEnglishspeaking, which allowed her to learn about the similarities and differences that exist between a wide variety of constitutional and criminal systems in the Americas, Africa, and Europe. Likewise, when she worked in the Ministry of Justice, she had the opportunity to review a significant number of comparative experience for the purpose of adopting administrative measure and promoting legalinitiatives in different areas of law.

5. National nomination process

ha2d 5i 1	1 g	6	-	1	6
-----------	-----	---	---	---	---

internationally. In addition, the candidate has received various distinctions detailed above. There is nothing in her record to indicate any type of sanction, ethical misconduct, or professional mpropriety.

In relation to the requirement of recognized expertise in human rights, the Panel believes that it is indisputable that candidate Pérez Goldbeg has a solid academicand professional backgroundn international human rights law, with expertise in the areas of access o justice, rights of persons deprived of liberty and gender perspective persons with disabilities, and migrants. In the questionnine sent to the Panel and in the interview, the candidates howed recognized competencien human rights in several ways: the protection of human rights as a personal cause, with a strong vocation to work in the defense of the rights of people in vulnerables ituations; knowledge of international human rights law and its application in her professional cause, and with respect to the specific is sues of interests he has had in her work. As a special is in criminal matters, he has also worked in favor of the rules of due process.

The candidate has a diverse profession abackground she has worked as legal advisor for the Public Criminal Defense Service, as Underse cretary f Justice and Minister of Justice In these areas she worked to create institutions in favor of human rights, in litigation, and in the promotion of public policies to improve the conditions of persons deprived of liberty.

Annex A: Biographies of the Panel Members

Mariclaire Acosta: MariclaireAcostais currentlythePresidenbf TransitionaJusticeMexico (JTMX) andOxfam-Mexico. ShechairedMexico'sNationalAnti-CorruptionSystemin 2018 and she is an academicand activist. She has held positions in public service and is internationallyrecognized as a humanrights expert. Throughouther career, she has founded several human rights organizations and has held important positions. She was Director of FreedomHouseMexico; Directorfor the Americasof the InternationaCenterfor Transitional Justice; SpecialAdvisor to the SecretaryGeneralof the Organizationof AmericanStates for Civil Society Affairs; as well as, Undersecretar for Human Rights and Democracyat the Ministry of ForeignAffairs during the Fox administrationShewasa collaboratofor six years with the Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights through the Committee of Trustees of the Voluntary Fundfor TechnicalCooperationShewas Counselor of the National Human Rights Commissionfrom 2013 to 2019. She currently chairs the organizationJTMX, a space for reflection on the possibilities of promoting transitional Justice processfor Mexico. She is also Presidentof Oxfam-Mexico, as well as Presidentof the ConsultativeAssembly of the NationalCouncil to PreventDiscrimination.

Carlos Ayala: Carlos Ayalais an international urist with extensive experience human rights protection system and a professor of international human righta w and constitutional aw in undergraduate and graduate ourses at different universities. He is also Vice Presiden of the InternationaCommission Juristsanda member of the Board of Directors of the International Human Rights Institute (IHRI). He was president and a member of the Inter-American Commissionon Human Rights and Rapporteuron the Rights of Indigenous Peoples of the Americas from 1996 to 1999. He was also a member of the Andean Commission of Jurists since 1992 and its president from 2003 to 2009. In 2005, he was appointed by the UN High Commissione for Human Rights as a member of the International Commission of Overseers for the selection and appoint men process of the Supreme Court of Justice of Ecuador He was alsoa consultanto the UN for the appointment of the Supreme Court of Justicein Guatemala in 2009. Mr. Ayala has been the honorary president of the VenezuelanAssociation of ConstitutionalLaw since 1998. Regardinghis academicbackground, Carlos Ayala was a Professor of Constitutional Law at Universidad Católica Andrés Bello (UCAB) and UniversidadCentralde Venezuela(UCV) between1983 and 2008. He has been head of the Constitutional Law Departmentat UCAB since 1992. He was Head of the Public Law Departmentat UCAB between2004 and 2016. He has been a professorof International Protection of HumanRights in the Postgraduat Program in HumanRights at the UCV since 1992. He has also been a professor of human rights in the undergraduate w program at UniversidadMetropolitanaand UCAB since 2005 and a professorof constitutional law at UCAB since 2006. Heasbeena Professor of International Human Rights Law, University of Oxford, New College

Magdalena Cervantes: Magdalena Cervantes holds a degree in Sociology from the UniversidadNacionalAutónomade México, completeda Master'sdegreein Latin American Studiesat the sameuniversity, and holds a Master'sdegreein HumanRights and Democracy from the FacultadLatinoamericanade CienciasSociales, Mexico City. She worked at the Human de

Elizabeth Salmón: ElizabethSalmón holds a Doctor of Law in InternationalLaw from the University of Seville and is a Senior Lecturer of InternationalLaw at the PUCP. She is executivedirector the Institute Democracy and HumanRights of the PUCP and a member of the Advisory Committee of the United NationsHumanRights Council, of which shewas president during 2019. She is also a foreign legal expert o actas *Amicus Curiae* in the Special Jurisdiction for Peacein Colombia. She is the author of several publications on public international law, international human rights law, international criminal law, international humanitariarlaw and transitional justice. She has also served as the director of the Masters in HumanRights programat the PUCP, and a consultant to the PeruvianMinistries of Justice and Defense as well as to the PeruvianTruth and ReconciliationCommission the United Nations and the International Committee of the RedCross. She is also a visiting professor and teaches specialize dourses at several universities.

Judith Schönsteiner: Judith Schönsteinerholds a Doctor of Law, LL.M. in International HumanRightsLaw from the University of Essex, M.A. in Political Science from the Johannes Gutenberg University of Mainz. She is an associate professorat the Faculty of Law of Universidad Diego Portales, Santiago, Chile, and a researcheat its Human Rights Center, which shealso directed from 2012 to 2017. She specializes in humanrights and business and she has conducted research on the Inter-American human rights system, as well as the incorporation of international humanrights law into the domestic legal system. She was a member of the Independent Pane of Expertsin 2019. She has trained officials off

Annex B: Questionnaires sent to candidates

Questionnaire for Candidates to the Inter-American Court of Human Rights

The Independent Panel of Experts has been convened to evaluate the nominees to integrate the Inter-American Court of Human Rights that will be elected during the General Assembly of the Organization of American States. In particular, the panel will:

- x Evaluate whether the candidate meets the criteria and fulfill the requirements established in the American Convention of Human Rights, the IACourtHR Statute, the relevant resolutions enacted by the OAS and the international standards established in the most important instruments about judicial independence and coho
- x Issue recommendations about the nomination processes at the national det/lete following elections at the OAS General Assembly.

Similar practices have been developed around the world in which state representatives, independent experts, and/or civil society organizations have participated in nomination processes or evaluation candidates to judicial or quasibilities bodies at the international level. The Caribbean Court of Justice, the International Court of Justice, the European Court of Human Rights, the Court of Justice of the European Union and the Economic Community of West African States Court of Justice constitute some of the most relevant examples of these practices. The realization of independent evaluations strengthens the transparency of selection procedures, as well as the legitimacy of institutions. For the cordially invite you to answer to this questionnaire. Unless objected, the Panel may make your answers public.

The questionnaire covers the following areas:

(I) Background, recognized competence, and contribution to the diverse compositien of t body,

- (II) Conflicts of interest, impartiality and negliscrimination,
- (III) Nomination processes.

116

I. Background, recognized competence, and contribution to the diverse composition of the Commission

- 1. Why do you want to be a judge of the Internetican Court of Human Rights?
- 2. What are your specific areas of knowledge and work experience in the field of human rights?
- 3. What do you think are the greatest challenges that the **Anter**ican Human Rights System faces and how could they affect your work?
- 4. What are the most relevant contributions that the **Atee**rican Court of Human Rights has made in the past five years? What are the most relevant aspects of its jurisprudence and which other aspects should be modified or strengthened?
- 5. Given your professional development and the personal characteristics with which you identify, how would you assess your contribution to the Court and your potential to adequately complement the current composition of this bod
- 6. Do you think the InterAmerican Court of Human Rights, according to its mandate, should modify any of the interpretations that **LAC**HR has made of the American Convention on Human Rights or other Interpretations treaties? why?
- 7. Do you have knowledger experience in working with legal systems other than that of your country?
- 8. Have you been a member of civil society movements in favor of human rights?
- 9. Have you served as a public servant? If so, in what capacity?
- 10. What are your specific language **sk**? Please, if possible, provide us with documents or links to corroborate your answer.
- 11. Provide us with links to your most significant papers, opinions, or advocacy in the area of human rights, *amicus curia*declarations, or individual votes. Please, highlight those works that reflect a critical stance, based on human rights arguments, towards legal norms, administrative or judicial decisions, public policies, public or private institutions (maximum 4). Additionally, if to obtain your academic degree(s) you have submitted a thesis or dissertation related to international human rights law, please mention its title and indicate its main conclusion or hypothesis.
- 12. In your professional work, have you had the opportunity to use or apply the American Convention on Human Rights or other human rights or humanitarian law treaties, or the jurisprudence of the Intermetican Court of Human Rights? Please describe your experience and inform us about how to access documents where that application is

reflected.

- 13. Have you ever advocated for, or against, the adoption or implementation of human rights or international humanitarian law treaties or other instruments? Please describe your experience, referring in particular to activities meant to publicize, defend, or strengthen the InteAmerican Human Rights system.
- 14. Have you ever advocated for, or against, the adoption or implementation of the Inter American Human Rights standards that are established in the jurisprudence of the Court? Please describe your experience, referring in particular to activities meant to publicize, defend, or strengthen the Internetican Human Rights system.
- 15. Please enclose your CV.
- II. Conflicts of interest, impartiality, and non-discrimination.
- 16. Considering your professional history, under what conditions do you consider that some type of conflict of interest could arise that would affect your independence and impartiality in your work as a commissioner? How would you proceed in these circumstances?
- 17. During your possible term as a judge, what other professional posts or activities do you foresee developing?
- 18. Have you ever been sanctioned as a result of professional misconduct or as a Judge or public servant?
- 19. Do you disagree or have any difficult it the following statement? "It is expected that a commissioner shall not, by words or conduct, manifest or appear to condone bias or prejudice based upon reasons such as age, race, creed, color, gender, sexual identity or orientation, religion, national origin, disability, political opinion, marital status, socioeconomic status, criminal background, alienage or citizenship status. A commissioner is also expected to demand that people under his or her direction and control refrain from issuing such words or conduct." Please provide any relevant information about your ability to meet this expectation.

Questionnaire for Candidatesto the Inter-American Commissionon Human Rights

The Independent Panel of Experts has been convened to evaluate the nominees to integrate the Inter-American Commission on Human Rights that will be elected during the General Assembly of the Organization of American States. In particular, the pallel wi

- x Evaluate whether the candidate meets the criteria and fulfill the requirements established in the American Convention of Human Rights, the IACHR Statute, the relevant resolutions enacted by the OAS and the international standards established in the most important instruments about judicial independence and conduct.
- x Issue recommendations about the nomination processes at the national level and the followin6aa104 (n)-10 (c (t)TJ 0.002 r(n)2 (o)2 t)-10t w(e)-4 (5)2 (On)2 meGs Rr t 1 (io)2 sm

- 1. What particular aspects of your professional background make you a qualified candidate to be elected as commissioner?
- 2. Why do you want to be a commissioner?
- 3. What are your specific areas of knowledge and work experience in the field of human rights?
- 4.

private institutions (maximum 4). Additionally, if to obtain your academic degree(s) you have submitted a thesis or dissertation related to international human rights law,

Annex C: Form for the submission of information to the Panel of Independent Experts

The IndependentPanel of Experts that will evaluate the nominees to the Inter-American Commission HumanRights and the Inter-AmericanCourt of Human

duties with respect to the principle of non-discrimination?

Balancedand representative composition of the IACtHR and IACHR

Pleaseprovide information about the contribution of the candidate o the composition of the Inter-AmericanCommission HumanRights or the Inter-AmericanCourt of HumanRights. In the years 2016, 2017, 2018, 2019 and 2020, the Organization of AmericanState approved resolutions are commending that MemberStates nominate and elect candidate that would ensure the balance composition of the bodies in terms of gender, geographical epresentation and population groups and legal systems of the hemisphere guaranteeing that they meet the requirements of independence mpartiality, and recognize competence in humanrights. Does the candidate contribute to a balance dand representative omposition of the Inter-American Court or the Inter-AmericanCommission HumanRights?

Nomination process:

Pleas@roviderelevantinformationabout the nominationprocessat the national level: What nomination process was used? Was a pre-establishe@procedurefollowed? Was it publicly advertised Did civil society, academiœntities, and/orothersplay any role in the nomination process?

Documentary support:

Attachall supportingdocumentshere:

Copy and paste here athe links that support the information you provided:

Please indicatenyotherrelevantinformation that the Panelshould take into consideration: