

th century. Indeed, to this day, the country is proud of its democratic tradition and of having held elections without interruption for more than a century. However,

the students were being careless by continuing to protest and that Pedro's detention was meant to send a message.

23. On March 4, 24 hours after his arrest, Pedro was brought before the chief of Police Headquarters No. 3. Pedro was accompanied by his lawyer Claudia, who was only able to see him 15 minutes earlier, and then had to prepare his defense based on the lawful exercise of the right to protest and the police officer's lack of authority to arrest him, much less to punish him with up to four days' detention. However, within an hour after the proceedings were over, Pedro was served with a police order establishing: (i) that Pedro admitted to the acts committed, since he never denied that he was protesting in a public thoroughfare; (ii) that this violated Article 2, paragraph 3 of Decree 75/20; and (iii) that, therefore, under Article 3 of the decree, he was subject to the penalty of four days in jail.

29. On March 5, Claudia tried to file a *habeas corpus* through the official website of the judicial branch of Vadaluz. However, when she tried to do so she got an error message saying, “the server is down, please try again later.”

30. Early in the morning on March 6, Claudia managed to file the writ of *habeas corpus* and the unconstitutionality action through the official website of the judicial branch of Vadaluz. In the writ of *habeas corpus* Claudia requested the adoption of a precautionary measure *in limine litis*.

31. On March 7, the urgent precautionary measure that Claudia requested in the *habeas corpus* was dismissed as unnecessary since Pedro would be released that day. Indeed, he left the police headquarters a few hours later. The first thing he did when he got out was to send a tweet saying that an injustice had been committed and that although he had not been subjected to cruel, or inhumane treatment or torture, he should never have been deprived of his liberty for defending the right to health.

32. The writ of *habeas corpus* was adjudicated on March 15. It was dismissed as moot since Pedro had already been released. On May 30, the Federal Supreme Court dismissed the unconstitutionality action, finding no constitutional violation. For its part, Congress made no decision regarding Decree 75/20, because the members of Congress had decided to adjourn to protect themselves from the pandemic until the minimum necessary conditions were objectively met.

III. Proceedings in the Interl

